UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,091	04/20/2004	John C. Eidson	10040199-1	6015
22878 7590 12/15/2009 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION,LEGAL DEPT. MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537			EXAMINER	
			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,091	EIDSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LASHONDA T. JACOBS	2457	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO in the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance was filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prio (a) They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	tion and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondent NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,10-16,18-21 and 24-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notic entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does I See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S13. ☐ Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)
	/LaShonda T Jacobs/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue that McDonnell does not use configuration data to configure a distributed device for performing measurement/control function and diffusing the configuration data among a set of distributed devices. However, the Examiner disagrees. McDonnell discloses a user setting parameters (configuration data) of a mobile entity in order for the device to perform atmospheric reading or other readings in which the readings are transferred to other devices (paragraphs 0063-0069). Therefore, McDonnell discloses using configuration data (settings parameters) to configure a distributed device for performing measurement/control function and diffusing the configuration data among a set of distributed devices. Applicant also argue that there is no mention of a kiosk in McDonnell. However the the kiosk is inherently in the mobile structure of the communication in order for kiosk to interface with the mobile the entity (paragraphs 0063-0069).

/LaShonda T Jacobs/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457